

SUMMARY OF
POLICY CHANGES RELATED TO INSTITUTIONAL CONTROL,
STRUCTURE OR ORGANIZATION

Adopted by The Higher Learning Commission Board of Trustees on June 4, 2009

This document provides new policies and policy amendments in three parts: 1) Policies related to Change of Ownership; 2) Policies related to Separately Accreditable Components; and 3) Policies related to Transfer of Accreditation across regions.

Introduction

Change of Ownership

The Higher Learning Commission Board of Trustees (“the Board”) adopted the new and amended policies that follow in the attachment to better define the range of its oversight of transactions related to change of ownership at institutions. The attached policy adopted by the Board replaces the subsections related to merger and change of ownership in the Commission’s current Institutional Change policy with a separate, freestanding policy titled, CHANGE OF CONTROL, STRUCTURE, OR ORGANIZATION. This new policy makes clear that the Commission’s oversight extends not merely to certain categories of transactions (e.g., merger, sale, etc.) but to transactions that change, or have the potential to change, the control of an institution or its fundamental structure and organization. The Commission’s oversight now also extends to defined changes that occur in a parent or controlling entity, and not necessarily in the institution itself, but have the potential to affect the accredited entity. This new policy establishes the Board as the key decision-maker for The Higher Learning Commission (“the Commission”) in approving such changes. Finally, this revised policy is accompanied by a new procedure that clearly explains what information an institution must provide in a request for change under the policy and the supporting documentation that must accompany the request. This procedure will be available separately on the Commission’s website

Separately Accreditable Institutions

The attached policy adopted by the Board expands the current policy on Separately Accreditable Institutions to define not only what characteristics and procedures are necessary for an entity to be established as Separately Accreditable but what characteristics and procedures are necessary for an entity to establish that it should qualify as a component of an institution accredited by the Commission. This policy is now titled COMPONENTS OF AN ACCREDITABLE INSTITUTION.

Transfer of Accreditation or Movement Across Regions

The attached policy adopted by the Board, titled INSTITUTIONS ACCREDITED BY ANOTHER RECOGNIZED ACCREDITOR SEEKING COMMISSION STATUS, establishes the process by which such institutions will be reviewed by the Commission. That policy makes clear that such institutions will need to establish eligibility for a Commission evaluation, just as newly-applying institutions without status now do, and must follow other Commission policies and programs for initial status.

Adoption and Implementation

The Board adopted the attached policies on First Reading in February 2009, and they were released electronically to the membership for comment. The Commission received a small number of comments, which the Commission staff and the Board reviewed at the June 2009 meeting. The policies adopted by the Board in June reflect a number of the changes proposed by those comments. The policies became effective immediately. The Commission will work with institutions over the coming months to facilitate implementation.

**POLICIES RELATED TO
OWNERSHIP, STRUCTURE, AND MOVEMENT IN AND OUT OF THE REGION.**

PART A: OWNERSHIP, CORPORATE CONTROL, STRUCTURE

<p>Policy no.: 3.3</p>	<p>CHANGE OF CONTROL, STRUCTURE, OR ORGANIZATION</p> <p>An institution shall receive Commission approval prior to undergoing a transaction that affects, or may affect, how corporate control*, structure or governance occurs at the accredited or candidate institution (hereinafter the “affiliated institution”). Approval of the transaction resulting in the CHANGE OF CONTROL, STRUCTURE, OR ORGANIZATION shall be necessary prior to its consummation to effectuate the continued accreditation of the institution subsequent to the closing of the proposed transaction.</p> <p>*Control shall be understood to mean the possession, direct or indirect, of the power to direct or cause the direction of, the management and policies of an institution, corporation, partnership or other entity, whether through the ownership of voting securities, by contract or otherwise. (See related definition at 34 CFR § 600.31(b).)</p>
	<p>Policy history: (None. New policy. Replaces Commission Policy 3.2(d)1. subsections #2, 3, 4, and 7.)</p>
<p>Policy no.: 3.3(a)</p>	<p>TYPES OF TRANSACTIONS</p> <p>The transactions that require prior Commission approval¹ include, but are not limited to, the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Sale or transfer to, or acquisition by, a new owner of all, or a substantial portion, of the institution’s assets, or the assets of a branch campus or site (not including any transfer that constitutes only the granting of a security interest); 2. Merger or consolidation of an institution with one or more institutions or entities. This includes the consolidation of an institution not accredited or in candidate status with the Commission into the structure of an institution holding status with the Commission; 3. The division of the affiliated institution into one or more institutions or entities; 4. Stock transactions including Initial Public Offerings of stock as well as those transactions wherein an individual, entity or group² acquires and controls 25% of the total outstanding shares of stock of the affiliated institution, or an individual, entity or group increases or decreases its control of shares to greater or less than 25% of the total outstanding shares of the stock of the institution; 5. Change of corporate form, governance structure, or conversion, including,

¹ Such transactions may or may not also require approval from the U.S. Department of Education.

² For a definition of a “group” see Section 13(d)(3) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934.

	<p>but not limited to, change from Limited Partnership to Corporation, from Limited Liability Corporation to a Corporation, from a Not-for Profit Corporation to a For-Profit Corporation, a Private to Public, a Not-for Profit Corporation controlled by members to one controlled by its Board of Directors, significant change in the size of the institution’s governing board;</p> <p>6. Any of the transactions in items 1 through 5 above involving a parent corporation that owns or controls the affiliated institution or in any intermediate subsidiary of a parent corporation where that subsidiary has a controlling relationship to the institution and where the transaction may reasonably affect the control of the accredited institution as determined by the Commission or by the U.S. Department of Education;</p> <p>7. Sale, transfer, or release of an interest in the affiliated institution such that there is change in the management or governance of the institution; and</p> <p>8. Transfer of substantial academic or operational control of the affiliated institution to a third-party entity.</p>
	<p>Policy history: (None. New policy.)</p>

<p>Policy no.: 3.3(b)</p>	<p>CHANGE OF CONTROL, STRUCTURE OR ORGANIZATION REVIEW PROCESS</p>
<p>Policy no.: 3.3(b)1.</p>	<p>APPROVAL PROCESS</p> <p>The Board will make all decisions regarding approval of transactions under this policy upon recommendation by Commission staff. Commission staff may seek external assistance from peer reviewers or individuals with appropriate expertise or may require an immediate on-site Fact-Finding Visit to gather information about the proposed CHANGE OF CONTROL, STRUCTURE, OR ORGANIZATION prior to making a recommendation to the Board. Commission staff will inform the institution about the recommendation being provided to the Board and allow the institution 14 calendar days to prepare a response to that recommendation; that response will be shared with the Board prior to its decision.</p> <p>The Board may approve the change, thereby authorizing accreditation for the institution subsequent to the close of the transaction, or it may deny approval for the change. The Board reserves the right to delegate to a Board subcommittee the review, prior to the decision by the full Board, of changes proposed under this policy and that subcommittee may make a recommendation to the full Board regarding the decision on the proposed transaction.</p> <p>If the Board votes to approve the change, thereby authorizing accreditation for the institution subsequent to the close of the transaction, the Commission will conduct a focused or other evaluation to the institution within six months of the consummation of the transaction. A previously-scheduled comprehensive or focused evaluation may fulfill this task provided that it is scheduled, or can be rescheduled, within the six-month timeframe.</p>

	Policy history: (None. New policy.)
Policy no.: 3.3(b)2.	<p>APPROVAL FACTORS</p> <p>The Board will consider the following factors in determining whether to approve the transaction: 1) continuation of the mission, educational programs, student body and faculty that were in place when the Commission last conducted an on-site evaluation of the affiliated institution; 2) substantial likelihood that the institution, including the revised governance and management structure of the institution, will continue to meet the Commission’s Eligibility Requirements and Criteria for Accreditation; 3) sufficiency of financial support for the transaction; and 4) previous experience in higher education, qualifications, and resources of new owners, Board members or other individuals who play a key role in the institution or related entities subsequent to the transaction. The Board will consider a change of control, structure, or organization for an institution on sanction or under Show-Cause only if there is substantial evidence that the proposed transaction resolves the issues the institution must address during the sanction period.</p>
	<p>Policy history: (None. New policy.)</p> <p>Related policies: See Policy 3.5 INSTITUTIONS WITH RELATED ENTITIES.</p>
Policy no.: 3.3(c)	EVALUATION VISITS RELATED TO CHANGE OF CONTROL, STRUCTURE, OR ORGANIZATION
Policy no.: 3.3(c)1	<p>FACT-FINDING VISIT (PRE-TRANSACTION VISIT)</p> <p>Commission staff may call for an on-site Fact-Finding Visit prior to making a recommendation to the Board regarding a proposed CHANGE OF CONTROL, STRUCTURE, OR ORGANIZATION. The role of the Fact-Finding Visit team will be to gather information and advise staff regarding the staff recommendation to the Board. The Fact-Finding Visit Team will not prepare a formal team report.</p>
	Policy history: (None. New policy.)
Policy no.: 3.3(c)2	<p>FOCUSED OR OTHER EVALUATION AFTER THE TRANSACTION (POST-TRANSACTION VISIT)</p> <p>The focused or other evaluation subsequent to the consummation of the transaction will be conducted according to the Commission’s procedure for focused or other evaluations. The evaluation will review the appropriateness of the approval of the change as well as whether the institution met any commitments made to the Commission prior to that approval. The evaluation team will also document that the institution continues to meet the Eligibility Requirements and Criteria for Accreditation or Candidacy Requirements, as applicable. The team may recommend further Commission monitoring, rescheduling of the next comprehensive evaluation, or Commission sanctions or withdrawal of status. If the institution had been accredited by the Commission prior to the transaction, but the team determines that the institution does not meet the Criteria for Accreditation but otherwise meets the requirements of the Candidacy program, the team may recommend that the institution be continued in status only as a Candidate for Accreditation.</p>

	<p>Recommendations for withdrawal, sanction or a new Candidate status will be reviewed by a Review Committee and decided by the Commission’s Board of Trustees in keeping with Commission policy.</p>
	<p>Policy history: (None. New policy.)</p>
	<p>COMPREHENSIVE EVALUATION AFTER THE POST-TRANSACTION VISIT</p> <p>The next comprehensive evaluation shall take place no later than five years after the Post-Transaction Visit and shall assess whether the institution under new control continues to meet the Criteria for Accreditation and bears a substantial relationship to the institution accredited by the Commission prior to the transaction.</p>
<p>Policy no.: 3.3(d)</p>	<p>CHANGE OF CONTROL, STRUCTURE OR ORGANIZATION WITHOUT PRIOR COMMISSION APPROVAL</p> <p>The Board shall withdraw the accreditation or candidacy of an institution that completes a CHANGE OF CONTROL, STRUCTURE OR ORGANIZATION without receiving prior Commission approval from the Board of Trustees. The Higher Learning Commission President will take a recommendation for withdrawal to the Board upon learning of the change that took place without prior Commission approval. Prior to the Board’s review, the institution will be informed about the recommendation and will have at least 14 calendar days to prepare and submit a response that the Board will have available when it considers the President’s recommendation for withdrawal.</p>
	<p>Policy history: (None. New policy.)</p>
<p>Policy no.: 3.3(e)</p>	<p>NOTIFICATION TO THE COMMISSION REGARDING OTHER TRANSACTIONS</p> <p>An institution affiliated with the Commission must notify Commission staff of any other CHANGE OF CONTROL, STRUCTURE, OR ORGANIZATION that is not separately identified in this policy or that may be separately identified in the policy but may not be reasonably known by, or under the control of, the accredited institution, a parent entity or intermediate subsidiary prior to the transaction (e.g., disposal of stock by an investor). These changes include, but are not limited to, changes in the Chief Executive Officer of the affiliated institution, changes in the structure and composition of the Board of Trustees of the institution, other than those due to normal or mid-term completion of Board members’ terms or removal or replacement of Board members or revision of corporate bylaws through regular review processes, and sale or transfer of a block of stock that constitutes less than 25% but more than 10% of the total outstanding voting shares of the affiliated institution, its corporate parent or other entity in a controlling relationship with the institution. These changes must be reported to the Commission as soon as they are reasonably known to the institution. While such changes are to be reported for information, staff may determine in certain cases that they do constitute a Transaction under 3.3(a) that must be approved under this policy or that require Commission follow-up under Commission policies related to monitoring.</p>
	<p>Policy history: (None. New policy.)</p>

<p>Policy no.: 3.3(f)</p>	<p>FEES RELATED TO CHANGE OF CONTROL, STRUCTURE OR ORGANIZATION</p> <p>The Board approves fees related to the changes under this section. These fees are identified in the Commission’s fee schedule.</p> <hr/> <p>Policy history: (None. New policy.)</p>
<p>Policy no.: 3.2</p>	<p>CHANGES IN MISSION AND STRUCTURE</p> <p>Delete subsections #2, 3, 4, and 7. Omit “STRUCTURE” from the policy name. Add “INSTITUTIONAL CHARACTERISTICS.”</p>
<p>Policy no.: 2.2(a)</p>	<p>TEAM RECOMMENDATIONS</p> <p>Add “move institution from accredited to candidate status” to the list of recommendations that may be made by an on-site team under this section.</p>
<p>Policy no.: 2.2(d)1.</p>	<p>REVIEW COMMITTEE PROCESS</p> <p>Add “move institution from accredited to candidate status” to the list of recommendations forwarded by the Review Committee to the Board of Trustees.</p>
<p>Policy no.: 2.2(j)</p>	<p>BOARD OF TRUSTEES DECISIONS</p> <p>Add “move institution from accredited to candidate status” to the list of official actions entrusted to the Board.</p>
<p>Policy no.: 2.2(l)</p>	<p>BOARD COMMITTEE HEARING</p> <p>Add “move institution from accredited to candidate status” to the list of official actions for which an institution may ask for a Board Committee Hearing.</p>
<p>Policy no.: 2.4</p>	<p>SANCTIONS AND ADVERSE ACTIONS</p> <p>Change header from “Sanctions and Adverse Actions” to “Sanctions, Withdrawal and Related Actions.”</p>
<p>Policy no.: 2.5</p>	<p>APPEALS BODY AND PANEL</p> <p>Add “move institution from accredited to candidate status” to the list of official actions for which the Commission provides an appeal.</p>
<p>Policy no.: 2.4(d)</p>	<p>ACCREDITED TO CANDIDATE STATUS</p> <p>The Board of Trustees may determine that an institution be moved from accredited to candidate status as a result of the findings of an on-site evaluation team visiting the institution subsequent to the close of such a transaction. The Board must find that the institution, as a result of or related to the CHANGE OF CONTROL, STRUCTURE</p>

	<p>OR ORGANIZATION, meets the Eligibility Requirements but no longer meets all of the Criteria for Accreditation. It must also find that the institution meets the requirements of the Candidacy program.</p>
	<p>Policy history: (None. New policy.)</p> <p>Related policies: See Commission Policy 1.1(b), CANDIDACY.</p>
<p>Policy no.: 2.4(d)1</p>	<p>PROCESS FOR MOVING AN INSTITUTION FROM ACCREDITED TO CANDIDATE STATUS</p> <p>A team recommendation to move the institution from accredited to candidate status arising out of a comprehensive or focused evaluation within six months of the close of a transaction approved under 3.3, CHANGE OF CONTROL, STRUCTURE, OR ORGANIZATION, will automatically be referred to a Review Committee. In all cases, the Board of Trustees will act on a recommendation to move an institution from accredited to candidate status only if the institution’s Chief Executive Officer has been given at least 14 calendar days to place before the Board of Trustees a written response to the recommendation of the team or Review Committee.</p>
	<p>Policy history: (None. New policy.)</p>
<p>Policy no.: 2.4(d)2</p>	<p>DISCLOSURE OF ACCREDITED TO CANDIDATE STATUS</p> <p>A Public Disclosure Notice for an institution whose status has shifted under this policy will be available on the Commission’s Web site within 24 hours after the institution has been notified officially of the action; the Commission may also send out this Notice to the press and other entities. The affected institution must update its Web site and other disclosure statements within one week after receiving notification of the action, provide notification to students and other constituents identified in Commission Policy 12.2(c) within thirty calendar days after receiving the action, and disclose its new status whenever it refers to its Commission affiliation.</p>
	<p>Policy history: (None. New policy.)</p> <p>Related policies: See Commission Policy 12.2(c) INSTITUTIONAL OBLIGATIONS UNDER SANCTION, SHOW-CAUSE, or ADVERSE ACTION.</p>

PART B: SEPARATELY ACCREDITABLE AND RELATED ISSUES

<p>Policy no.: 3.4</p>	<p>COMPONENTS OF ACCREDITED OR CANDIDATE (“AFFILIATED”) INSTITUTIONS</p> <p>The affiliation status of an institution shall include the institution’s home campus or system office located within the Commission’s region and all its components wherever located. Components of an institution shall include all branch campuses, instructional sites, course locations and divisions offering distance delivery or correspondence operating inside the region, outside the region but within the United States, or outside the United States.</p> <p>The operational status of all institutional components will be periodically reviewed</p>
-------------------------------	---

	under this policy.
	Policy history: First adopted: January 1983; revised June 2000. Related policies: (None.)

Policy no.: 3.4(a)	<p>CHARACTERISTICS OF A COMPONENT THAT MAY BE INCLUDED IN THE INSTITUTION'S AFFILIATION</p> <p>An entity may be deemed a component of an affiliated institution if responsibility for the educational and operational activities of the component is clearly located at a home campus or system office that is central to the institution affiliated with the Commission.</p> <p>The component may be included in the institution's affiliation only if it has all of the following characteristics:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. the home campus or system office has oversight over the finances, administration, and hiring, firing and retention of personnel at the component; 2. an administrator for the component reports to the CEO of the affiliated institution or the system; 3. the home campus or system office provides meaningful oversight over the academic programs at the component; 4. degree-granting authority of the home campus or system office encompasses, where possible, the degree or program activity of the component; 5. public information about the institution, the component, and any corporate parent or structure is consistent with the characterization of the entity as a component of the affiliated entity. <p>Policy history: (None. New policy.)</p>
---------------------------	--

Policy no.: 3.4(a)1	<p>PROCESS FOR INCLUDING A COMPONENT WITHIN THE AFFILIATION OF THE INSTITUTION</p> <p>At the time an applying institution is reviewed through the Eligibility Process, the Commission will review the status of all components of the institution to determine whether the institution seeking affiliation constitutes a single entity for the purpose of accreditation based on the characteristics identified in this policy.</p> <p>An affiliated institution developing a new component(s) must file a change request under Commission policy 3.2 INSTITUTIONAL CHANGES REQUIRING COMMISSION APPROVAL. As a part of the review under Commission policy 3.2 the Commission will review the appropriateness of inclusion of the proposed component in accreditation of the institution using the characteristics cited above.</p> <p>An affiliated institution seeking to have an existing entity that is not currently included as a component in the institution's status deemed a component of the institution must file a change request under Commission policy 3.3 CHANGE OF CONTROL OR CORPORATE STRUCTURE. As a part of the review under Commission policy 3.3 the Commission will review the appropriateness of inclusion of the proposed component in the accreditation of the institution using the characteristics cited above.</p>
----------------------------	--

	<p>Policy history: (None. New policy.)</p> <p>Related policies: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • See Commission policy 3.2 INSTITUTIONAL CHANGES REQUIRING COMMISSION APPROVAL. • See Commission policy 3.3 CHANGE OF CONTROL, STRUCTURE, OR CORPORATE ORGANIZATION. </p>
<p>Policy no.: 3.4 (b)</p>	<p>CHARACTERISTICS OF A SEPARATELY ACCREDITABLE COMPONENT</p> <p>A component of a larger institution that is currently included in that institution’s accreditation may be separately accreditable if a significant portion of responsibility and decision making for its educational activities lies within the component and not in the other parts of the larger system as defined in the CHARACTERISTICS outlined in this section.</p> <p>The component may be separately accreditable if it has the following characteristics:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. has, under board policy, substantial financial and administrative independence from the home institution including matters related to personnel; 2. has a full time chief administrative officer; 3. is empowered, under board policy, to initiate and sustain its own academic programs; 4. has degree-granting authority in the state or jurisdiction in which it is located; and 5. public information about the institution, the component, and any corporate parent or structure is consistent with the characterization of the entity as a separately accreditable entity.
	<p>Policy history: First adopted: June 2000. (Jointly adopted by Council on Regional Accrediting Agencies.)</p> <p>Related policies: (None.)</p>
<p>Policy no.: 3.4(b)1</p>	<p>PROCESS FOR COMMISSION CONSIDERATION OF SEPARATE STATUS FOR A COMPONENT</p> <p>A component of an institution holding status with the Commission that is seeking separate status from the Commission, or from another recognized accrediting agency, must establish that it meets the separately accreditable characteristics outlined in this policy and, if it is seeking status with this Commission, its eligibility through the Commission’s Eligibility Process. For those components seeking status from the Commission, if the Eligibility Panel determines that the component is separately accreditable and appears to meet the Eligibility Requirements, the Commission will set a visit for initial status for the component. For those components seeking status from another recognized accreditor, the Commission will make a recommendation to the host agency that it allow the component to begin its process for separate accreditation after a showing by the component and the accredited institution that the component may be separated from the parent for the purpose of accreditation.</p>
	<p>Policy history: (None. New policy.)</p> <p>Related policies: See Commission policy 3.4(c), LOCATIONS OF COMPONENTS.</p>

Policy no.: 3.4(c)	LOCATIONS OF COMPONENTS
Policy no.: 3.4(c)1	<p>INSTITUTIONS WITH COMPONENTS IN THE REGION</p> <p>For institutions operating solely within the North Central region, the Commission will follow the process outlined in 3.4(b)1 after the component seeking separate status contacts Commission staff.</p> <p>Policy history: First adopted: June 2000. Related policies: (None.)</p>
Policy no.: 3.4(c)2	<p>INSTITUTIONS WITH COMPONENTS OUT OF THE REGION</p> <p>A component located in a region other than that of its home campus must seek separate accreditation in the region in which it exists if it functions independent of operational control of the parent college or university. A component will be deemed operationally independent and accreditable by the host region when it has the characteristics listed in 3.4(b).</p> <p>Each regional commission will determine if any of its affiliated institutions have components that appear to be separately accreditable. Following consultation with the host commission and the institution, and upon learning from the host region the site's potential to meet its eligibility requirements, the home region will make the determination as to the status of such sites that meet these requirements. The host region will agree to take deliberate steps toward reviewing any components identified as operationally independent in keeping with its policies and procedures for applying institutions. An institution identified as separately accreditable will continue to be included in the accreditation of the parent college or university until it achieves separate accreditation.</p> <p>When required by the U.S. Department of Education, the Commission will continue to include in its accreditation of an institution components deemed separately accreditable and accredited by another host agency. The Commission will maintain this arrangement under a Memorandum of Understanding with the host agency that makes clear that the Commission remains responsible for the accreditation of the entire institution, including separately accreditable components accredited by another agency, under its Title IV gatekeeping function.</p> <p>Policy history: First adopted: June 2000. (Jointly adopted by Council on Regional Accrediting Agencies.) Related policies: (None.)</p>

PART C: ACCREDITED INSTITUTIONS SEEKING TO “MOVE” INTO THE REGION OR TO SHIFT ACCREDITATION TO THE HIGHER LEARNING COMMISSION

Policy no.: 3.5	<p>INSTITUTIONS ACCREDITED BY ANOTHER RECOGNIZED ACCREDITOR SEEKING COMMISSION STATUS</p> <p>An institution accredited by another institutional accrediting agency recognized for</p>
------------------------	--

	<p>Title IV federal gatekeeping purposes by the U.S. Department of Education that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. moves its home campus or main office or a component to the Commission’s region; 2. designates an existing campus or office as the main; or 3. initiates a new home campus or main office in the Commission’s region <p>for the purposes of establishing Commission jurisdiction to accredit the entire institution, including, if applicable, various components in other regions, may seek Commission status. It will seek status by establishing its eligibility for a Commission evaluation under the Eligibility Process. If the Eligibility Panel determines the institution is eligible for Commission review, it must host a comprehensive evaluation team and follow Commission policy and procedure for seeking initial status.</p> <p>The Commission will not consider for status any institution under sanction, show-cause, or withdrawal by another recognized institutional accrediting agency, or within two years of such status, or less than two years before the agency’s next comprehensive evaluation of the institution. As a part of the Eligibility review, the institution must establish how it is resolving any issues identified for monitoring or further review by the other agency. During the time it is seeking status from the Commission, it must remain in good standing with the other agency and meet all financial and accrediting obligations. It must work to keep both agencies properly informed and copy both agencies on all relevant correspondence.</p> <p>Policy history: (None. New policy.)</p> <p>Related policies: See Commission policy 1.7 ELIGIBILITY FOR NON-AFFILIATED INSTITUTIONS FOR COMMISSION REVIEW.</p>
--	---

<p>Policy no.: 3.2(d)4.</p>	<p>CHANGES IN RELATIONSHIP WITH THE COMMISSION</p> <p>Amend subsection #4. Change language from “Transfer accreditation to a new entity” to “Relocate accreditation within or among entities already accredited by The Higher Learning Commission.”</p>
------------------------------------	--

Adopted by The Higher Learning Commission Board of Trustees

06/04/09